



Sh Jagmohan Singh,
R/o Village Phulewala,
P.O Gholia Khurd, Tehsil Bagha Purana,
Distt Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM,
Bagha Purana, Distt Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DC,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2684 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Jagmohan Singh as the Appellant
Smt.Rajwinder Kaur, APIO O/o DC Moga for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 16.10.2019 has sought information regarding complaints received against Registry Clerk of Tehsildar Bagha Purana and Tehsildar Sh.Rakesh Kumar and action taken thereon – any police complaint or department enquiry against Registry Clerk Sh.Jaswinder Singh – date of appointment of Sh.Jaswinder Singh along with documents obtained from him and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SDM Bagha Purana. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 13.01.2020 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 16.03.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

ON the date of first hearing on 26.02.2021, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. Having gone through the RTI application, the PIO was directed that if there are any such documents available regarding point-1 & 2, these be sent to the Commission in a sealed envelope. The information on point-3, being personal information, be not provided.

On the date of last hearing on **07.05.2021**, the appellant claimed that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent was absent. The Commission received a reply of the PIO on 26.03.2021 which was taken on the file of the Commission. In the reply, the PIO mentioned that since the information relates to the office of Tehsildar Bagha Purana, the RTI application was transferred to them on 23.10.2019 with a copy to the appellant for information. Thereafter, the report of Tehsildar Bagha Purana received vide letter dated 07.11.2019 (whereby the office of Tehsildar Bagha purana informed that the information relating to points 1 & 2 is not available in their office and the information regarding points 3 can be obtained from DC Office Moga), was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 08.11.2019.

A copy of the reply was sent to the appellant with the order.

The PIO O/o DC Moga was directed to provide information on point-3 of the RTI application, a copy of which was attached with the order.

Hearing dated 10.08.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. As per respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant by hand. The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:10.08.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to :Deputy Commissioner, Moga.



Sh. H.S Hundal,
1, Dutt Road, Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Additional Deputy Commissioner,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3615 of 2018

PRESENT: Sh.H.S.Hundal as the Appellant
Sh.Sandeep Kumar, JA-RTI Branch O/o Tehsildar Moga for the
Respondent

ORDER: This case should be read in continuation to the earlier order.

The case has already been heard on 30.07.2019, 19.12.2019, 24.02.2020, 07.07.2020, 01.09.2020, 28.01.2021 & 07.05.2021.

On the date of last hearing on **07.05.2021**, the appellant informed that the PIO has not provided the information regarding points 2 & 8. The Commission also received an email from the appellant in this regard which was taken on the file of the Commission.

The respondent present from the office of SDM Moga informed that the information was provided by Tehsildar Moga. The respondent from the office of Tehsildar Moga was present.

The PIO was given one last opportunity to send information relating to point 2 & 8 in a sealed envelope to the Commission. The information should reach the Commission by Friday the 14th May, 2020. The appellant was to collect the information from the office of the Commission.

Hearing dated 10.08.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga/Mohali. As per appellant, despite visit to the office of PIO many times, the PIO has not supplied the information.

As per respondent, the appellant has not deposited the requisite fee for the information relating to point-2(300 pages) and point-8(300 pages).

The PIO is directed to provide information relating to point 2 & 8 (from the year 2015 to 2018 upto the RTI application) to the appellant free of cost and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 10.08.2021
CC to : PIO-Tehsildar Moga

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner